June, 1998. The second location is to be readvertised shortly. No LPG distributorship is to be advertised for Kurebhar, Distt. Sultanpur (UP).

(b) Selection of dealership/ distributorships advertised by the oil companies could not take place due to non-functioning of the Dealer Selection Board on account of various factors including General Elections, reconstitution of Dealer Selection Boards, etc.

(c) to (e) Nine Dealer Selection Boards have since been constituted in Uttar Pradesh. A large number of locations have been advertised and large number of applications have been received. The application are being scrutinised and the interviews for the same are to be held in a phased manner. The concerned Dealer Selection Board has not yet finalised the date for interview for dealership advertised for Kurebhar, Distt. Sultanpur. (UP).

**Deployment of central forces in North-East**

*360. SHRI KARNENDU BHATTACHARJEE: Will the Minister of HOME AFFAIRS be please to state:

(a) whether there is an increase in deployment of Central forces in North-East Sector due to increase in insurgency activities;

(b) if so, the detials thereof; and

(c) the action proposed to be taken to contain the insurgency activities in the region, especially in Assam and Tripura?

THE MINISTER OF HOME AFFAIRS (SHRI L. K. ADVANI): (a) and (b) The Central Para Military Forces are made available to the State Governments, on their request, to assist them to maintain public order. The levels of deployment in any State depend upon the overall security scenario and the availability of these forces. Accordingly Central Para Military Forces have been made available to North Eastern States also. It is not in public interest to indicate the details of these forces and their levels of deployment.

(c) The Steps taken to curb insurgency in North East include, *ihter-alia,* deployment of Central Para Military Forces and the Army, improved coordination and sharing of intelligence modernisation/upgradation of State Police Forces, declaration of the most seriously affected areas as disturbed areas and notification of the major insurgent groups as unlawful associations. The situation is also kept under watch and is reviewed from time to time.

## WRITTEN ANSWERS TO UNSTARRED QUESTIONS

**Restriction on dumping of Chinese metallurgical coke**

263.6. SHRI VIJAY J. DARDA: Will the Minister of COAL be pleased to state:

(a) whether Government have received a communication from the, Bharat Coking Coal Limited (BCCL) seeking restrictions on dumping of Chinese metallurgical coke for the survival of the domestic coal sector;

(b) if so, the details thereof;

(c) the reaction of Government thereto and action taken/proposed in this regard to protect the interest of domestic Coal Sector; and

(d) the details regarding import of metallurgical coke imported during the last two years and proposed during the current year and implications thereof?

THE MINISTER OF STATE OF THE MINISTRY OF COAL (SHRI DILIP RAY): (a) and (b) Yes, Sir. Communications have been received from M/s. Bharat Coking Coal Limited about dumping of Chinese coke.

(c) The dumping of Chinese coke into the country was examined by the designated authority in the Ministry of Commerce. After hearing the affected

parties and considering the submissions of the parties, the designated authority has imposed an anti-dumping duty on metallurgical coke being imported frorn China PR at a rate as equivalent to the difference between Rs. 4673/— and the landed value of met-coke/m.t.

(d) Coal/coke being under open general licence, is being imported by consumers as per their need and commercial arrangements and so no data regarding import of met coke is' maintained. However, there has been no import of metallurgical coke by the Government in the last two years nor there is any proposal to import the same in the current year. The liberalised import" of metallurgical coke has affected domestic coke industries and also off take of coal companies adversely.

**Removal of workers from BCCL**

2637. DR. ALLADI P. RAJKUMAR: Will the Minister of COAL be pleased to State:

(a) the number of workers removed from the roll in BCCL alleging unauthorised absence since last five years, year-wise;

(b) the reasons for their absence;

(c) whether the Supreme Court has opined in a judgement that dismissal is a disproportionately harsh punishment for unauthorised absentee; and

(d) if so, the steps taken by Government in regard to the implementation of the. above judgement in favour of retrenched workers?

THE MINISTER OF STATE OF THE MINISTRY OF COAL (SHRI DILIP RAY): (a) As reported by Coal India limited, the number of workers removed from the roll of BCCL for unauthorised absence during last five years with year-wise break-up is as under:---

| Year | Total No. of workers |
|---|---|
| 1993-94 | 607 |
| 1994-95 | 465 |
| 1995-96 | 328 |
| 1996-97 | 736 |
| 1997-98 | 488 |
| 1998-99 *(upto Oct' 98)* | 218 |

(b) The habitual absence by the workers has been mainly on account of various social functions in their families and for attending to cultivation and other such activities.

(c) In a judgement on a related issue in Civil Appeal No. 2982 of 1989 between Union of India & Others Vs. Giriraj Sharma reported in AIR 1994, the Hon'ble Supreme Court held as follows:—

> "The incumbent while admitting the fact that he had overstayed the period of leave had explained the circumstances in which it was inevitable for him to continue on leave as he was forced to do so on account of unexpected circumstances. We are of the opinion that the punishment of dismissal for over-staying the period of 12 days in the said circumstances which have not been controversed in the counter is harsh since the circumstances show that it was not his intention to wilfully flout the order, but the circumstances forced him to do so. In that view of the matter the learned counsel for the respondent has fairly conceded that it was open to the authorities to visit him with a minor penalty if they so desired, but a major penalty of dismissal from service was not called for."

(d) Due weightage is given to the above judgement of Hon'ble Supreme Court in deciding such cases, having re gard to circumstances of each case.